



NHS MEDICAL POLICY

Reduction Mammoplasty Procedure 2014-006

May be indicated when ALL the following are present:

1	<p>Persistent symptoms in at least 2 of the anatomical body areas below, affecting daily activities for at least 1 year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Headaches • Pain in neck • Pain in shoulders • Pain in upper back • Painful kyphosis documented by X-rays • Severe bra strap grooving or ulceration of shoulder • Arm numbness consistent with brachial plexus compression syndrome
2	<p>Member has undergone an evaluation by a physician who has documented that there is a reasonable likelihood that the member's symptoms are primarily due to macromastia and that reduction mammoplasty is likely to result in improvement of the chronic pain.</p>
3	<p>Pain symptoms persist as documented by the physician despite at least a 3-month trial of at least 1 of the following therapeutic measures based on the presenting symptoms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analgesic/non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) interventions • Physical therapy/exercises/posturing maneuvers • Supportive devices (e.g., proper bra support, wide bra straps) • Medically supervised weight loss program for overweight or obese patient • Wound care for skin ulceration
4	<p>Women 40 years of age or older are required to have a mammogram that was negative for cancer performed within the year prior to the date of the planned reduction mammoplasty.</p>
5	<p>Member is at least 18 years old, and breasts have stopped growing and are stable in size for at least 12 months before surgery.</p>

6 The surgeon estimates that **at least** the following amounts (in grams) of tissue will be removed from each breast, based on the member's body surface area. See **Table 1**.

Simplified formula for calculation of body surface area:

$$(BSA \text{ (in m}^2\text{)}) = [\text{height (cm)}]^{0.718} \times [\text{weight (kg)}]^{0.427} \times .007449.$$

Table 1: Weight of breast tissue removed, per breast, as a function of body surface area

Body Surface Area (m²)	<u>Average grams of tissue per breast to be removed</u>
1.35	199
1.40	218
1.45	238
1.50	260
1.55	284
1.60	310
1.65	338
1.70	370
1.75	404
1.80	441
1.85	482
1.90	527
1.95	575
2.00	628
2.05	687
2.10	750
2.15	819
2.20	895
2.25	978
2.30	1068
2.35	1167
2.40	1275
2.45	1393
2.50	1522
2.55	1662
2.60	1806
2.65	1972
2.70	2154
2.75	2352
2.80	2568

2.85	2804
2.90	3061
2.95	3343
3.00	3650
3.05	3985
3.10	4351
3.15	4750
3.20	5186
3.25	5663
3.30	6182
3.35	6750
3.40	7369
3.45	8045
3.50	8783
3.55	9589
3.60	10468
3.65	11428
3.70	12476
3.75	13619
3.80	14867
3.85	16230
3.90	17717
3.95	19340
4.00	21112
4.05	23045
4.10	25156
4.15	27459
4.20	29972
4.25	32716
4.30	35710
4.35	38977
4.40	42543
4.45	46435
4.50	50682
4.55	55316
4.60	60374
4.65	65893
4.70	71915
4.75	78487
4.80	85658

Schnur, Paul L, et al., "Reduction Mammoplasty: Cosmetic or Reconstructive Procedure?" Annals of Plastic Surgery. Sept 1991; 27 (3): 232-7.

SOURCES

1. Brown DM, Young VL. Reduction mammoplasty for macromastia. *Aesthet Plastic Surg.* 1993;17(3):211-223.
2. Gonzalez FG, Walton RL, Shafer B, et al. Reduction mammoplasty improves symptoms of macromastia. *Plastic Reconstruct Surg.* 1993;91(7):1270-1276.
3. Howrigan P. Reduction and augmentation mammoplasty. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am.* 1994;21(3):539-543.
4. Seitchik MW. Reduction mammoplasty: Criteria for insurance coverage. *Plastic Reconstruct Surg.* 1995;95(6):1029-1032.
5. Kinell I, Baeusang-Linder M, Ohlsen L. The effect on the preoperative symptoms and the late results of Skoog's reduction mammoplasty: A follow-up study on 149 patients. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Hand Surg.* 1990;24(1):61-67.
6. Schnur PL, Hoehn JG, Ilstrup DM, et al. Reduction mammoplasty: Cosmetic or reconstructive procedure? *Ann Plastic Surg.* 1991;27(3):232-237.
7. Schnur PL, Schnur DP, Petty PM, et al. Reduction mammoplasty: An outcome study. *Plastic Reconstr Surg.* 1997;100(4):875-883.
8. Tang CL, Brown MH, Levine R, et al. Breast cancer found at the time of breast reduction. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1999;103(6):1682-1686.
9. Tang CL, Brown MH, Levine R, et al. A follow-up study of 105 women with breast cancer following reduction mammoplasty. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1999;103(6):1687-1690.
10. Behmand RA, Tang DH, Smith DJ Jr. Outcomes in breast reduction surgery. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2000;45(6):575-580.
11. Mizgala CL, MacKenzie KM. Breast reduction outcome study. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2000;44(2):125-134.
12. Krieger LM, Lesavoy MA. Managed care's methods for determining coverage of plastic surgery procedures: The example of reduction mammoplasty. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2001;107(5):1234-1240.
13. Chadbourne EB, Zhang S, Gordon MJ, et al. Clinical outcomes in reduction mammoplasty: A systemic review and meta-analysis of published studies. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2001;76(5):503-510. Available at: <http://www.mayo.edu/proceedings/2001/may/7605r1.pdf>. Accessed May 27, 2003.
14. Kerrigan CL, Collins ED, Kim HM, et al. Reduction mammoplasty: Defining medical necessity. *Med Decis Making.* 2002;33:208-217.
15. Handschin AE, Bietry D, Hüsler R, et al. Surgical management of gynecomastia--a 10-year analysis. *World J Surg.* 2008;32(1):38-44.
16. Collins ED, Kerrigan CL, Kim M, et al. The effectiveness of surgical and nonsurgical interventions in relieving the symptoms of macromastia. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2002;109(5):1556-1566.
17. Nguyen JT, Wheatley MJ, Schnur PL, et al. Reduction mammoplasty: A review of managed care medical policy coverage criteria. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2008;121(4):1092-1100.
18. Burns JL, Blackwell SJ. Breast and aesthetic surgery. In: Townsend CM, Beuchamp RD, Evers BM, eds. *Townsend: Sabiston Textbook of Surgery.* 18th ed. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders Company; 2008; Ch 73.
19. Devalia HL, Layer GT. Current concepts in gynecomastia. *Surgeon.* 2009;7(2):114-119.
20. American Society of Plastic Surgeons (ASPS). Evidence-based clinical practice guideline: Reduction mammoplasty. Arlington Heights, IL: ASPS; May 2011.
21. Li CC, Fu JP, Chang SC, et al. Surgical treatment of gynecomastia: Complications and

- outcomes. Ann Plast Surg. 2012;69(5):510-515.
22. Kalliainen LK; ASPS Health Policy Committee. ASPS clinical practice guideline summary on reduction mammoplasty. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2012;130(4):785-789.
23. Li CC, Fu JP, Chang SC, et al. Surgical treatment of gynecomastia: Complications and outcomes. Ann Plast Surg. 2012;69(5):510-515.

CODE REFERENCE (This may not be a comprehensive list of codes to apply to this policy.)

CPT 19318

POLICY HISTORY/REVISION INFORMATION

Date	Action/Description
08/13/2014	UPDATE: The most widely used table of tissue removal amounts in the insurance industry is the Schnur table. It has been validated in the literature. This table is substituted. The previous table recommended removal of much higher tissue amounts and is not generally used. The risks associated with removing too much tissue at once include compromising vascular supply to the nipple area. The Schnur table is a safer approach. This will be brought back to the next committee for approval.
06/19/2015	Annual review and approval by UM Committee
02/26/2016	Additions made to #1 and #2
12/14/2016	Annual review and approval by UM Committee
12/13/2017	Annual review and approval by UM Committee
12/13/2018	Annual review and approval by UM Committee
12/12/2019	Annual review and approval by UM Committee
12/10/2020	Annual review and approval by UM Committee
12/10/2021	Annual review and approval by UM Committee
12/21/2022	Annual review and approval by UM Committee
12/20/2023	Annual review and approval by UM/QM Committee
12/23/2024	Annual review and approval by UM/QM Committee